Interview Summary	10/698,819	CONRAD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christine D. Hopkins	3735
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):	
(1) <u>Christine D. Hopkins</u> .	(3) <u>Charles Marmor,</u>	<u>II</u> .
(2) Timothy Conrad, Reg. No. 30,164.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 April 2007.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 21, and 23-24.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. I	n)□ N/A.
U.S.C. 112, second paragraph and objections surrounding upon are made via the Examiner's Amendment of Paper I for allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	No. 20070423A, thereby in the examination of the amendments and in the examination of the amendments and in the examination of the amendments and in the examination of the examination	ner agreed would render the claims that would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has a R OF ONE MONTH OR T TERVIEW SUMMARY FO	Iready been filed, APPLICANT IS HIRTY DAYS FROM THIS DRM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		•
Formation Makes Very more than the Comment of the		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner	s signature, if required

Application No.

Applicant(s)